Hypofractionated RT in Cervix Cancer

Anuja Jhingran, MD

Hypofractionated RT in Cervix Cancer: *Clinicaltrials.gov*

- 919 cervix trials
- 134 hypofractionated RT trials
 - Prostate, breast, NSCLC, GBM
- 0 cervix trials with hypofractionation



Palliative Radiation Therapy

Palliation: Select Trials

- IAEA Trial Hoskin et al, 2015
 - 8 Gy vs 4 Gy
 - ORR 80% vs 68%, (p=0.0015)
 - Retreatment rates: 14% vs 22%, (p=0.01)
- RTOG 9714 Hartsell et al
 - 8 Gy vs 30 Gy in 10
 - Pain relief and narcotic use equivalent
- RTOG 7905
 - 10 Gy x 3 with misonidazole, too toxic
- RTOG 8502 Spanos et al
 - 3.7 Gy bid x 3 q 2-4 weeks
 - CR 10%, PR 22%, no change 24%, Progression 10%, Unknown 34%
- TATA Memorial Hosp.
 - 10 Gy x 3

Monthly palliative pelvic radiotherapy in advanced carcinoma of uterine cervix Mishra et al. | Cancer Res Ther. 1(4):208-12, 2005

- Mishra *et al* J Cancer Res Ther. 1(4):208-12, 2005
- N=100
- 10 Gy x 3
 - Median field size: 15 x 15 cm
 - Brachy 30 Gy after fx 2, or 10 Gy after fx 3
- 68% IIIB, 20% with metastatic disease
- 61 received 2nd fx, 33 received 3rd fx
- Control of bleeding, discharge and pain were 100%, 49% and 33%, respectively

Monthly palliative pelvic radiotherapy in advanced carcinoma of uterine cervix Mishra *et al* J Cancer Res Ther. 1(4):208-12, 2005



Figure 1: Radiotherapy fraction vs. control of bleeding

Figure 3: Radiotherapy fraction vs. pain relief

PROs?

Short-course palliative radiotherapy for uterine cervical cancer. Kim *et al* Radiat Oncol J. 2013 Dec;31(4):216-21.

- N=17
- 20-25 Gy @ 5 Gy per fraction
- ORR 94% for vaginal bleeding control
- ORR 67% for pelvic pain

Palliative RT: Trial Example

10 Gy x 2 q month

5 Gy x 5

- endpoints (short term):
 - PRO's
 - Pain relief, bleeding, narcotic usage

Definitive Hypofractions

Definitive Treatment: Hypofractionation EBRT

- 45-50.4 Gy, Is this optimal?
- Dose per fraction: 1.8-2.0 Gy?
- Guiding principle: Mitigating late toxicity



Hypofractionated WBI

<u>START B</u>



Haviland et al, Lancet Oncol 14:108

Meta-analysis for local-regional relapse

Fe	bru	ary	201	5		
	1		•	1	*	
×	÷	x	+	-	e	*
•		,	•	e	,	
	•			-		-

	Number of events/patients		Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Age (years)			
<40	60/343 —		0.79 (0.47–1.34)
40-49	116/1046	-	0.88 (0.60-1.28)
50-59	154/2226	-	1.03 (0.74-1.44)
≥60	114/2246	.	1.11 (0.75–1.63)
Primary surgery			
Breast conservation surgery	409/5348		0.97 (0.80–1.19)
Mastectomy	35/513 —		0.91 (0.46–1.81)
Axillary nodes (pN)			
Negative	289/4318		1.10 (0.86–1.40)
Positive	149/1421	.	0.80 (0.57-1.11)
Tumour grade			
1	41/1213		0.96 (0.51–1.82)
2	108/2398	-	1.07 (0.72–1.59)
3	114/1272		0.86 (0.59–1.25)
Tumour bed boost radiothe	erapy		
No	199/2749		0.99 (0.74–1.32)
Yes	241/3071		0.99 (0.76–1.29)
Adjuvant chemotherapy			
No	303/4346		1.09 (0.86–1.38)
Yes	139/1480		0.81 (0.57-1.14)
	0.4	0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.82	0
	← Favours fr	action sizes >2.0 Gv Favours fraction size	2·0 Gv

Haviland et al, Lancet Oncol 14:108

Meta-analysis for complications

	Number of events/patients		Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Age (years)			
<40	97/269		
40-49	322/812		1.09 (0.86-1.37)
50-59	764/1798		0.78 (0.68–0.91)
≥60	810/1793	— — —	0.80 (0.69–0.92)
Breast size*			
Small	117/302		0.96 (0.65-1.42)
Medium	1064/2272	_ e	0.77 (0.68–0.87)
Large	278/476		0.91 (0.72–1.15)
Tumour bed boost	radiotherapy		
No	753/2087	_	0.80 (0.69–0.92)
Yes	1234/2565		0.86 (0.76–0.96)
Adjuvant chemoth	erapy		
No	1603/3662	-8-	0.83 (0.75–0.91)
Yes	387/994		0.88 (0.71-1.08)
Tamoxifen			
No	424/906	e	0.83 (0.68–1.02)
Yes	1566/3750		0.84 (0.76–0.93)
	0-4	0.6 0.8 1.0 1.	2 1.4
	Favou	rs fraction sizes >2.0 Gy Favour	s fraction size 2.0 Gy

Haviland et al, Lancet Oncol 14:108

Fe	bru	ary	201	5		
1	1	2	1	1	*	
*	+	×	+	•	e	*
•		,	•	e	,	,
	-	*		-		-

MD Anderson trial

	Dose to Whole Breast/ # Fractions	Dose to Tumor Bed Boost/ #Fractions	Total Days of RT
CF- WBI	50Gy/25fx	10Gy/5fx Margin ≥2mm 14Gy/7fx Margin <2mm	30-32
HF- WBI	42.56Gy/16fx	10Gy/4fx Margin ≥2mm 12.5Gy/5fx Margin <2mm	20-21

Fe	bru	ary	201	5		
1	1	1	1	1		Τ
x	+	x		•	e	-
•		,	•	e	2	-
•	-		-	-		-

MD-Reported Acute Grade ≥ 2 Toxicity Recorded Weekly During RT

	CF-WBI	HF-WBI	p-value
Any Acute Grade ≥ 2	77.9%	46.4%	<0.001
Any Acute Grade ≥ 3	5.4%	0.0%	0.006
Fatigue	16.7%	8.7%	0.020
Pruritis	6.7%	4.3%	<0.001
Breast Pain	8.7%	5.1%	0.003
Dermatitis	69.1%	36.2%	<0.001
Hyperpigmentation	20.1%	8.7%	0.007
Shoulder Arthralgia	0.7%	1.4%	0.23
Breast Edema	2.7%	0.7%	0.22

MD-Reported Grade ≥ 2 Toxicity at 6 month follow up

Fe	bru	ary	201	5		
1	1	2	•	1	+	1
*	÷	x	+	-	e	*
•		,	•	e	2	9
•	-			-		*

	CF-WBI	HF-WBI	p-value
Fatigue	6.4%	0.0%	0.009
Hyperpigmentation	7.8%	11.4%	0.12
Skin Induration	1.4%	0.8%	0.38
Dermatitis	0.7%	0.0%	0.64
Telangiectasias	0.7%	2.4%	0.22
Skin Ulceration	0.0%	0.0%	n/a
Wound Complications, Non-Infectious	0.0%	0.0%	n/a
Breast Infection	0.7%	0.7%	0.36
Wound Infection	0.0%	0.0%	n/a
Upper Extremity Edema	0.0%	0.0%	0.92
Breast Edema	5.0%	1.6%	0.08



6 Month Patient FACT-B Scores

	CF-WBI	HF-WBI	p-value
Mean Physical Wellbeing Score	24.7	25.4	0.07
Q1. Lack of energy: somewhat or worse	38.8%	23.0%	<0.001

Patient Reported somewhat or worse lack of energy





6 Month Patient FACT-B Scores

	CF-WBI	HF-WBI	p-value				
Mean Physical Wellbeing Score	24.7	25.4	0.07				
Q3. Somewhat or worse trouble meeting	38.8%	23.0%	<0.001				
family needs	-						
Patient Reported somewhat or worse trouble meeting family needs							



Summary

February 2015

- For women who need whole breast irradiation without addition of a third field to cover the regional nodal basins, hypofractionated-whole breast irradiation should be the preferred standard of care
 - Evidence is robust
 - Less expensive and more convenient
 - Less acute toxicity
 - Less fatigue a benefit that lasts through at least 6 months post-treatment
 - With 40 Gy in 15 fractions, better cosmetic outcome and soft tissue toxicity
- An acceptable standard of care for nearly all patients with early breast cancer treated with breast conserving surgery.

Phase III Randomized Trials – Moderate Hypofx 2.4- 4 Gy per day, 52-72 Gy, 19-30 txs

Study	Median FU, mo	Risk, GS, or NCCN	Technique	Regimen	BED, Gy	n	Outcome	Toxicity
Lukka et al. [15]	68	60% GS ≤6 31% GS 7 9% GS 8–10	3DCRT No IGRT	52.5 Gy/20 fx	62	466	5 yr FFBF 40% (NS)	Gr ≥3 2% (NS)
				66 Gy/33 fx	66	470	5 yr FFBF 43%	Gr ≥3 1%
Yeoh et al. [17]	90	n.s.	2D/3DCRT No IGRT	55 Gy/20 fx	66.8	108	7.5 yr FFBF 53% (p < 0.05)	Late GU; HR: 1.58 (95% CI, 1.01–2.47) favoring hypofractionation
		Outco	mes and	l comnli	icati	on r	ates ^{84%}	
Dearnaley et al. [18]	51	"sin	nilar" to	o conver	ntio	nal f	fx	$\begin{array}{l} Gr \geq 2 \ GU \ 0\% \ (NS) \\ Gr \geq 2 \ GI \ 1\% \ (NS) \end{array}$
		0						Gr ≥2 GU 2%
) ð	5-90+ %) PSAUF	· LK	/IK		Gr ≥2 GI 4%
								Gr ≥2 GU 2%
								Gr ≥2 GI 4%
Kuban et al. [14]; Hoffman et al. [19]	60		RTOG 0	415- 111	15 p [.]	ts	5 6	5 yr Gr ≥ 2 GU 16% (NS) 5 yr Gr ≥ 2 GI 10% (NS)
		Non-in	ferior B	F, sl↑cc	omp	licat	ions 🏾	5 yr Gr ≥2 GU 17% 5 yr Gr ≥2 GI 5%
Arcangeli et al.	70				•		*	3 yr Gr ≥2 GU 16% (NS)
[12,13]								3 yr Gr ≥2 GI 17% (NS)
			100% 9 mo ADT				*p ss for GS \geq 4 + 3	
				80 Gy/40 fx	80	85	5 yr FFBF 79%	3 yr Gr ≥2 GU 11%
								3 yr Gr ≥2Gl 14%
Pollack et al. [16]	68	34% GS ≤6 47% GS 7 19% GS 8–10	IGRT	70.2 Gy/26 fx	84	151	5 yr BCDF 23% (NS)	5 yr Gr ≥2 GU 13% (p=0.16) 5 yr Gr ≥2 GL9% (NS)
				78 Gy/36 fx	78	152	5 yr BCDF 21%	5 yr Gr ≥2 GU 13% 5 yr Gr ≥2 GI 9%

Koontz, Eur Urol 68:683, 2015

Afr. J. Med. med. Sci. (2000) 29, 253 - 258

Comparative evaluation of hypofractionated radiotherapy and conventional fractionated radiotherapy in the management of carcinoma of the cervix in Ibadan, Nigeria

OB Campbell¹, IB Akinlade¹, A Arowojolu², IA Babarinsa², RI Agwimah³ and IF Adewole² ¹Department of Radiotherapy, ²Department of Obstetrics & Gyneacology, University College Hospital, Ibadan and ³Department of Physics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

- 63% of cancers were cervix cancer, wait time was 3 months to get on treatment
- Randomized trial, Univ College Hosp, Ibadan, Nigeria
 - Hypofrac. group (n=230, 50 Gy in 15 fractions in 5 weeks)
 - Control group (n=250, 50 Gy in 25 fractions in 5 weeks)
- Both groups received a single 30 Gy implant
- Survival and response were similar
- Late reactions were observed in 42.6% of hypofrac. group and 12.8% of control group

Hypofraction: BED and EQD2

Dose	Dose per fraction	Alpha/Beta	BED	EQD2
45	1.8	3	72.0	43.2
44	2.0	3	73.2	44.0
37.5	2.5	3	68.8	41.3
30	3.0	3	60.0	36.0
45	1.8	10	53.1	44.3
44	2.0	10	52.8	44.0
37.5	2.5	10	46.9	39.1
30	3.0	10	39.0	32.5
Brachy				
30	6.0	3	90.0	54.0
28	7.0	3	93.3	56.0
24	8.0	3	88.0	52.8
18	9.0	3	72.0	43.2
30	6.0	10	48.0	40.0
28	7.0	10	47.6	39.7
24	8.0	10	43.2	36.0
18	9.0	10	34.2	28.5

45/1.8 + 30/6 = **97.2 EQD2** vs 37.5/2.5 + 24/8 = **94.1 EQD2** for alpha/beta 3 <u>30 fractions vs 18 fractions</u>

Definitive Trial: Phase II - No brachytherapy

FIGO stage IB2-IIB Pelvic disease only

External beam 50 Gy / 25 + Weekly Cisplatin Followed by surgery

External beam 37.5 Gy/15 + weekly Cisplatin Followed by Surgery

- Surgery:
 - Radical hysterectomy 4 -6 weeks after radiation with removal of only abnormal nodes at that surgery and sampling of pelvic and para-aortics
 - If positive para-aortics treatment with radiation therapy
 - No surgery if progression of disease

- Chemotherapy:
 - Weekly cisplatin will give 5 courses in both arms
- Endpoints:
 - Primary: PRO –patient reported outcome(EPIC) and Cervix Subscale from FACT
 - Secondary: relapse free survival, overall survival, complications: including days in hospital after surgery and blood transfusion, pathological response

Time Point	Purpose
Before RT	Baseline
2 weeks after RT start	Compare early acute toxicity
End of RT/chmotherapy (at 5 weeks in both arm)	Maximum difference in acute toxicity
4-6 Weeks after RT (before surgery)	Compare resolution of acute toxicity
6 months after RT	Compare toxicity after surgery
1 year from the start of RT	Early chronic toxicity
2 years from the start of RT	Long term toxicity

- Early stopping rules after 10 enrolled patients/per center and then every 50 enrolled patients
- If increase toxicity seen then terminate trial

Definitive CRT: Phase II Randomize

45 Gy/25 fractions + weekly cisplatin

Versus

37.5 Gy/15 fractions+ weekly cisplatin

Brachytherapy schedule per institution protocol

ENDPOINT: PRO

- Chemotherapy: weekly cisplatin?
- Endpoints:
 - Primary: PRO Expanded prostrate cancer index composite (EPIC) and Cervix Subscale from FACT Secondary: relapse free survival and overall survival and chronic complications

Hypofractionation: Where do we go from here?

- Goal: Improve care delivery, not improving OS

 May need public funding
- Culturally sensitivity and practical
- Integration with chemo: watch out for acute toxicity (q weekly vs q 3 week)

Thank You